Cost of Production Per Litre of Milk in Jamaica in Year 2003.

Ffrench, D. L.; Miller, R.C.; Jennings, P.G. Jamaica Dairy Development Board

Introduction

- Dairy Sector continues to show declining trend over the last decade
- Milk production 18.4 M litres
- Local industry still under pressure from market liberalization and globalization

Statement of the Problem

- Importation of milk solids continue to put pressure on locally produced milk
- Limited fresh milk supplies force stiff competition among processors
- Milk price not competitive
- Cattle numbers now at 18,000

Purpose and Objective

- Comparison of production cost data for selected farm sizes
- Compare variable cost component that affects the production cost for a litre of milk in Jamaica

Hypothesis

There are no significant differences in cost of production among the various groups

Scope and Limitation

- This study was designed to compute the cost of production of a litre of milk and to compare the variable cost components.
- Originally 33 farmers agreed to participate in the survey; 24 responded but only 17 were eligible for the survey.
- Major components of the variable cost were concentrate and other feeds, vet. and med., utilities, labour, transportation, supervision, fertilizer and rental fees.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

MILK PRODUCTION PEAKED AT 38.8 M LITRES IN 1992.

MILK PRODUCTION FELL TO 18.4 M LITRES IN 2003

METHODOLOGY

- PRIMARY DATA SOURCE (PRO-FORMA SENT TO SELECTED FARMERS)
- PRODUCTION COST GROUPED IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES:
 - REVENUE
 - OPERATING COST
 - COST PER LITRE
 - GROSS MARGIN
 - FIXED COST

FARM SIZES

SMALLMEDIUMLARGE

- 1-10 COWS
- 11-99 COWS
- 100 AND OVER

ENTERPRISE DESIGNATION

- **₩**SNI
- ₩MI -
- ♦ MNI
- **#**LNI
- **∗**LI

- Small Non-irrigated (2)
- Medium Irrigated (2)
 - Medium Non-Irrigated (7)
- Large Non-Irrigated (3)
 - Large Irrigated (3)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

COMPARE RELATIVE PRODUCTION COSTS FOR MILK IN ALL CATEGORIES.

COMPARE MAJOR COMPONENT OF VARIABLE COST AS A PROPORTION OF TOTAL VARIABLE COST.

TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF VARIABLE COST PERLITRE AMONG FARM SIZES

Category	Direct Cost/litre
SNI	16.81
MNI	14.15
MI	18.15
LNI	17.93
LI	16.68
AVERAGE	16.05

TABLE 2: COMPARISON OF AVERAGE DIRECTCOST PER LITRE AMONG FARM SIZES

Category	Average Stocking Rate/ha	Average Direct Cost/litre
SNI	2.26	16.81
MNI	1.89	14.15
MI	2.67	18.15
LNI	1.83	17.93
LI	3.13	16.68

TABLE 3: COMPARISON OF AVERAGE DIRECTCOST 2000-2003

ITEMS	2000	2001	2002	2003
Av. Vc. / / J\$	15.91	17.41	17.02	16.05
Av.Vc / / US\$	0.34	0.36	0.35	0.26
Irrigated farms	15.36	21.31	18.33	17.42
SNI	8.00	12.34	12.21	16.81
Non-Irr. (org.)	18.30	18.83	17.23	16.04
ROI	28%	21%	6%	11%

TABLE 4: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF VARIABLECOST COMPONENTS OF FARMS

Category	Fee	Utilities	Labour	V/Med	Past.&
& Farm	d	%	%	%	Fert.
Size	%				%
SNI	34	0	26	4.5	10.5
MNI	37	5	27	4	3
MI	35	13	25	3	4
LNI	47	8	14	3	3
LI	36	7	11	3	3

TABLE 5: COMPARISON OF AVERAGE GROSSMARGIN/LITRE AMONG FARM SIZES

Category	Av. St. Rate/ha	Av. GM./litre	
		J\$	
SNI	2.26	4.70	
MNI	1.89	6.80	
MI	2.67	0.86	
LNI	1.83	1.84	
LI	3.13	4.77	

SUMMARY & CONCLUSION

- 1. Average variable cost was \$16.05
- 2. Non-irrigated farms av. \$16.04
- 3. Irrigated farms av. \$17.42
- 4. PRIMARY DATA WERE UTILIZED TO GATHER THIS INFORMATION.
- 5. MOST IMPORTANTLY IS THE COMPETITIVENESS OF THE DAIRY SECTOR AND THE PUBLIC SECTOR GUIDELINES.